

been in addition to any other rights filed, decreed, or granted to the said plaintiff, or her predecessors in in front.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

From the foregoing findings of fact the court concludes that the plaintiffs herein named are entitled to, and,-

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED,

- 22 0010<sup>1</sup> 1. That the plaintiff, P. C. Gillette, is awarded the right to the use of 1.6 cubic feet per second or 80 miners inches of the waters of Pine Creek with priority date of January 22nd, 1916, with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in Paragraph VII of the Findings of Fact.
- 22 0011<sup>2</sup> 2. That the Plaintiff, Mrs. James T. Heard is awarded the right to the use of 3.2 cubic feet per second or 160 miners inches of the waters of North Leigh Creek with a priority date of January 22nd, 1916, with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in Paragraph VIII of the Findings of Fact.
- 22 0012<sup>3</sup> 3. That the plaintiff, Davida Wade, is awarded the use of 3.2 cubic feet per second of 160 miners inches of the waters of North Leigh Creek with priority date of January 22nd, 1916, with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in Paragraph IX of the Findings of Fact.
- 22 0013<sup>4</sup> 4. That the plaintiff, John H. Hanson is awarded the right to the use of 3.2 cubic feet per second or 160 miners inches of the waters of North Leigh Creek with priority date of January 22nd, 1916, and with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in Paragraph X of the Findings of Fact.
- 22 0014<sup>5</sup> 5. That the plaintiff, Elmer Rammell is awarded the

and estate in the following described lands, to-wit:

E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 10 in township 3 N.R. 45 E.

and is the owner of a ditch through which he conveys water from  
line 1000, with the point of diversion located in the  
section 7 in township 3 north, range 45 E.B.M., and the plaintiff  
or his predecessor in interest, on or before and ever since the  
22nd day of January, 1916, has so diverted 1.6 cubic foot per sec-  
ond or 80 miners inches of the waters of said creek, and has ap-  
plied the same to beneficial use in the irrigation of the above  
described lands during each irrigating season, which has been in  
addition to any other rights fixed, decreed, or granted to the said  
plaintiff or his predecessors in interest.

VIII.

That the plaintiff, Mrs. James T. Beard, is the owner of  
or has an estate in the following described lands, to-wit:

The SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 2; SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 23;  
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 23, township 6 north, range 45  
E.B.M.,

and is the owner of a ditch through which she conveys water from  
North Leigh Creek, with the point of diversion located in the  
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 25 in township 6 north, range 45 E.B.M., and  
the plaintiff, or her predecessor in interest, on or before and  
ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, has so diverted 3.2  
cubic feet per second or 160 miners inches, of the waters of said  
creek, and has applied the same to beneficial use in the irrigation  
of the above described lands during each irrigating season, which  
has been in addition to any other rights fixed, decreed, or grant-  
ed to the said plaintiff, or her predecessors in interest.