




STATE OF IDAHO


Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Water Right(s): 31-00155 and 31-00156



Total Irrigated Acres: 157

-  Point of Diversion
-  Place of Use
-  Quarter Section Lines
-  Section Lines



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 Napp photography
Prepared by: C. Saxton
Date: January 2001

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

THP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

SOURCE: CROOKED CREEK

TRIB: _____


REVIEWED BY: _____

DATE: _____

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:



Enid Bennett Hunter



Total Irrigated Acres: 157

W. Winegar
31-00157B

CREEK

11 12
14 13



CROOKED

T12N

T12N R38E

Enid Bennett Hunter
31-00155, 31-00156,
31-00251

NE

Delos Stucki
31-00174A

CREEK

??

SE

14 13
23 24

IDMON
CORNER

7/21/2k
ABR

01/05/2001

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 31-156

NAME AND ADDRESS: ENID BENNETT HUNTER
3500 W 2000 N
REXBURG, ID 83440

SOURCE: CROOKED CREEK TRIBUTARY: CAMAS CREEK

QUANTITY: 0.4 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 05/01/1909

POINT OF DIVERSION: T12N R38E S12 NWSESW Within CLARK County
T12N R38E S13 NENENW Within CLARK County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	05-01 10-31	0.40 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

<u>IRRIGATION Within CLARK County</u>			
T12N R38E S13	NENE	37	T12N R38E S13 NWNE 40
T12N R38E S13	SWNE	40	T12N R38E S13 SENE 40

157 ACRES TOTAL

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 31-00155 IS LIMITED TO THE
IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 157 ACRES IN A SINGLE
IRRIGATION SEASON.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS
NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT
ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY
DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE
ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. SECTION 42-1412(6), IDAHO
CODE.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION
PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

01/05/2001

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommended Right Report

WATER RIGHT NO. 31-156

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	ENID BENNETT HUNTER 3500 W 2000 N REXBURG, ID 83440 (208)356-6488

Priority Date: 05/01/1909
Basis: Decreed
Status: Valid

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary to</u>
CROOKED CREEK	CAMAS CREEK

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Subuse Code</u>
IRRIGATION	05/01	10/31	0.4 CFS		
Total Diversion			0.4 CFS		

Location of Point(s) of Diversion:

CROOKED CREEK	NWSESW	Sec. 12	Township 12N	Range 38E	CLARK County
CROOKED CREEK	NENENW	Sec. 13	Township 12N	Range 38E	CLARK County

IRRIGATION Use:
Acre Limit: 157

Place(s) of use:

Place of Use Legal Description: IRRIGATION CLARK County

<u>Township</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Tract</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Tract</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Tract</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Tract</u>	<u>Acres</u>
12N	38E	13		NENE	37		NWNE	40		SWNE	40		SENE	40

Total Acres: 157

Conditions of Approval:

1. C03 RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.
2. C18 THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. SECTION 42-1412(6), IDAHO CODE.

Remarks:

1. Place of Use - Irrigation USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 31-00155 IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 157 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON.

Comments:

<u>Author</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Comment</u>
csaxton	1/5/01	POU	Permissible POU with Right 31-00155.

Water Right 31-156

csaxton 1/5/01 Evaluation See paper CVR and ECVR for recommendation evaluation.
 csaxton 1/5/01 POU SE Must file an enlargement claim to add acreage in the SE quarter of Section 13.

Dates:

Licensed Date:
 Claim Received Date: 06/28/1988
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Decreed Date: 11/01/1930
 Date Filed with Court:
 Objection Filed Date:
 SF5 Filed:
 Special Master Recom Signed Date:

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

Element	Last Review Date	Reviewer	Last Verified Date	Verifier	Status
Contacts	1/5/01 4:10:46 PM	csaxton			In Process
	1/5/01 4:10:32 PM	csaxton			In Process
Priority Date	1/5/01 4:12:16 PM	csaxton			In Process
Summary	1/5/01 4:24:41 PM		1/5/01 4:24:41 PM	csaxton	Complete

Other Information:

Receipt Number(s):
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector:
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume per Acre:
 Civil Case Number:
 Old Case Number:
 Decree Plaintiff:
 Decree Defendant:
 PresumptionFlag:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Cary Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Verification Log:

Element	Date Time Stamp	Reviewer	Log Text
Contacts	1/5/01 4:10:44 PM	csaxton	1. Appointment has not been scheduled. Comment for 1: Allen Ruberry met with the claimant on 7/14/2000
Contacts	1/5/01 4:10:24 PM	csaxton	1. Appointment has not been scheduled. Comment for 1: Allen Ruberry met with the claimant on 7/14/2000
POD	1/5/01 4:14:45 PM	csaxton	Edited point location
POD	1/5/01 4:14:23 PM	csaxton	Edited point location
Priority Date	1/5/01 4:12:14 PM	csaxton	1. (NO) There has not been a later court decree of the water right(s) from the water source. 4. (NO) The basis of claim is not beneficial use. 5. (NO) The basis of claim is not a posted notice. 6. (YES) Parent right has a priority date. 7. (NO) The basis of claim is not an application or permit. 12. (YES) The claim is recommended. 13. (YES) The claim is coded correctly. 14. (NO) Priority remark was not required. 15. (NO) Priority condition was not required.
Summary	1/5/01 4:24:39 PM	csaxton	1. (NO) The basis of the claim is not beneficial use. 3. (NO) There is no indication that there has been a five year period of continuous nonuse that could result in a recommendation of forfeiture or partial forfeiture. 5. (NO) General remark was not required. 6. (NO) Explanatory remark was not required. 7. (YES) Additional condition was required. 8. (YES) Wanted to view the recommended right.

9. (NO) This is not a split right.
10. (NO) This is not a combined right.
11. (NO) Documents were not linked or attached to this file.
12. (YES) Set the verification date.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A31-00156
Date Received: 6/29/1988
Receipt No: E000544
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: ENID BENNETT HUNTER 208-356-6488
Address: 3500 WEST 2000 NORTH
REXBURG, ID 83440

2. Date of Priority: MAY 01, 1909

3. Source: CROOKED CREED Trib. to: CAMAS CREEK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
12N	38E	12	SE	SW			CLARK

5. Description of diverting works:
DIVERSION 4A WOODEN HEADGATE, WIDTH OF BOX 28"/WEIR/ORIFICE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.400		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.400 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 240.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
12N	38E	13	NE	NE		IRR	40.0
			NW	NE		IRR	40.0
			SW	NE		IRR	40.0
			SE	NE		IRR	40.0

A31-00156

Page 1

Date: 06/29/88

MICROFILMED
NOV 17 1992

A3100156



10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
12N	38E	13	NE	SE		IRR	40.0
			NW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SE	SE		IRR	40.0
						Section Acres	320.0
						Total Acres	320.0

11. Place of use in counties: CLARK

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

2.8 CFS CROOKED CREEK, 05/01/1902 PRIORITY

14. Remarks:

RIGHT IS FOR NE1/4, SEC.13. DRAINAGE & EXCESS WATER APPLIED TO
BENEFICIAL USE ON SE1/4 WHEN CRAB C. NOT IN USE.

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

Water Right Number:

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): _____ Date: _____

_____ Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of _____) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this _____ day
of _____ 19 _____

Notary Public

Seal

Residing at _____

My Commission Expires _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39356

Ident. Number

A31-00156

Date Received

JUNE 28, 1988

Receipt Number

E000544

NOTICE OF CLAIM
TO A
WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

Please type or print clearly

1. Name of Claimant (s) Enid Bennett Hunter Phone (208) 356-6488
Mailing Address 3500 West 2000 North, Rexburg, Idaho Zip 83440
2. Date of Priority (Only one (1) per claim) May 1, 1909
3. Source of water supply (a) Crooked Creek
which is tributary to (b) Camas Creek
4. a. Location of existing point of diversion is: Township 12N Range 38E Section 12,
SE 1/4 of SW 1/4 of 1/4, Govt. Lot, 12N B.M., County of _____
Additional points of diversion if any: (2) T12N, R38E, Sec. 13, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and
(3) T12N, R38E, Sec. 13, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$
- b. If instream flow, beginning point of claimed instream flow is:
Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4,
Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____
ending point is: Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____
_____ 1/4, Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____
5. Description of existing diversion works (Dams, Reservoirs, Ditches, Wells, Pumps, Pipelines, Headgates, Etc),
including the dates of any changes or enlargements in use, the dimensions of the diversion works as
constructed and as enlarged and the depth of each well. Diversion 4a. Wooden Headgate, width of
box 28". boards used to form weir/orifice for measuring. Earth lined ditches
transport water to property; Diversion 4a(2) Wooden headgate, width of box
24", boards used to form weir/orifice for measuring. Earth lined dithces
transport water to property; Diversion 4a(3) Portable pumps-capacity- 0.5 -
1.0 cfs pumping directly onto property.

MICROFILMED

NOV 17 1992

Copies: White-State, Yellow-Claimant

6. Water is claimed for the following purposes:

(both dates are inclusive)

(cfs)

(acre feet)

For irrigation purposes from 4/1 to 11/1 amount 0.4 or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

7. Total quantity claimed (a) 0.4 (cfs) and/or (b) _____ (acre feet)

8. Total consumptive use claimed is 240 acre feet per annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses; describe fully (eg. Domestic: Give number of households served; Stockwater: Type and number of livestock Etc.) _____

10. Description of place of use:

a. If water is for irrigation, indicate acreage in each subdivision in the tabulation below.

b. If water is used for other purposes, place a symbol of use (example: D for Domestic) in the corresponding place of use below. See instructions for standard symbols.

TWP	RNG	SEC	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	
12N	38E	13	40	40	40	40									40	40	40	40	320*

Total number of acres irrigated 320*

11. In which county (ies) are lands listed above as place of use located? Clark

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes X No _____
If your answer is No, describe in Remarks below the authority you have to claim this water right.

13. Describe any other water rights used at the same place and for the same purposes as described above.
2.8 cfs Crooked Creek, May 1, 1902 priority or None ()

14. Remarks:

* Although the decreed right for this water is for the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of sec. 13, the drainage and excess water has been applied to beneficial use on the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ when the Crab Creek right was not in use.

MICROFILMED


NOV 17 1992

Last Name HUNTER Ident No. R31-00156

Copies: White -State, Yellow-Claimant

Map of Project: show clearly the point of diversion, place of use, section number, township, and range number.

Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile



MICROFILMED

NOV 17 1992

Last Name HUNTER

Ident No. A31-00156

Copies: White-State, Yellow-Claimant

15. Basis of Claim (check one) Beneficial Use _____ Posted Notice _____ License _____ Permit _____

Decree X

If applicable provide IDWR Water Right Number 031 - 0156

Court District Court of Idaho

Case Number No. 635

Decree Date _____

Plaintiff vs Defendant Ernest Sauve VS. W.H. Abbott

16. Signature (s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read, and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments _____

For Individuals: I do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant (s) Ernest Sauve Hunter

Date 6/28/88

Date _____

For Organizations: I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____

Title _____

of _____, that I have signed the foregoing

Organization _____

document in the space below as _____

Title _____

of _____

Organization _____

and that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent _____

Title and Organization _____

Date _____

State of Idaho/or _____)

County of Bonneville)

SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this

28th

day of

June

19

88

SEAL

Notary Public

Deena Green

Residing at

Rigby

My Commission Expires

4-22-1994

Please Print Name

17. Notice of Appearance:

Notice is hereby given that I, _____ will be acting as attorney at law on behalf of the claimant signing above, and that all notices required by law to be mailed by the director to the claimant signing above should be mailed to me at the address listed below.

Signature _____

Address _____

Date _____

MICROFILMED

NOV 17 1992

Last Name

HUNTER

Ident. Number

A31-00156


Copies White-State, Yellow-Claimant



STATE OF IDAHO


Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Water Right(s): 31-00155 and 31-00156



Total Irrigated Acres: 157


- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Quater Section Lines
- Section Lines



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 Napp photography
Prepared by: C. Saxton
Date: January 2001

WR #: 31-00155 and 31-00156

Enid Bennett Hunter



Total Irrigated Acres: 157

Acreage Distribution

Prepared By: Craig Saxton
January 2001

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

22:45:51
03/15/93

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A31-30156

LAST: HUNTER
ADDRESS: 3500 WEST 2000 NORTH
CITY: REXBURG
PHONE NUMBER: 208-356-6488

FIRST: ENID BENNETT
STATE: ID ZIP: 83440

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DATABASE

FIELD
EXAMINER

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

CLAIM REMARKS: RIGHT IS FOR NE1/4, SEC. 13. DRAINAGE & EXCESS WATER APPLIED TO
BENEFICIAL USE ON SE1/4 WHEN GRAB C. NOT IN USE.

LENLAAB. Claim needed for SE4
Source? When?

FIELD EXAMINATION INFORMATION

CONTACT PERSONS: _____

PHONE NUMBER: () -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: __/__/__

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y() N()

TIME: __:__ A() P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: () -

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / NOTES:

A. AJ CLAIM: # A31-00156 PRIORITY DATE: 05-01-1909 STAGE: ☒ DECEASED
B. WR RECORD: # 31-00156 PRIORITY DATE: 05-01-1909 STAGE: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) DATABASE FIELD EXAMINER
N

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y() N()
IF YES EXPLAIN.

NOTES: DISCREP REAS 1900-May 1

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
05-01-1909 *Is CLAIMED*

REVIEWED BY *[Signature]* DATE 2/16/24

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A31-00156	12N	38E	12		SE	SW		CLARK

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD
A PREFIX R PREFIX
A31-00155 NONE

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

31-00155
31-02046
31-02189

SOURCE: CROOKED CREEK

TRIB: CAMAS CREEK

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
31-00156	12N	38E	12		NE	SW		CLARK
31-00156	12N	38E	12		SE	SW		CLARK

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
A PREFIX R PREFIX

31-00155
31-02046
31-02189

A31-00155 NONE
A31-10256

SOURCE: CROOKED CREEK

TRIB: CAMAS CREEK

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	---
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	---

NOTES:

POD OF DISCREP READS " ... E²SW SEC. 12 ... " DONT KNOW JUST WHAT THE THIS IS - BUT CLAIMED

POD IS S4SW - PAPER CLAIM ALSO CLAIMS POD'S AS SEC. 13 NENW & NWNW — IF SO, CP3 WHEN

CROOKED CREEK IS SHOWN ON DISCREP W/ (As 31-00155) AS SOURCE - SO BE IT.

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---


SOURCE: Crookus Creek

TRIB: _____

REVIEWED BY: _____

DATE: _____

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:



A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A31-00155

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	4R #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
12N	38E	13	NE	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	31-90156	/	25.0
			NE	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00155	740.0	31-90155	/	
12N	38E	13	N4	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	31-90156	/	27.0
			N4	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00155	740.0	31-90155	/	
12N	38E	13	S4	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	31-90156	/	19.0
			S4	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00155	740.0	31-90155	/	
12N	38E	13	SE	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	31-90156	/	25.0
			SE	NE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00155	740.0	31-90155	/	
12N	38E	13	NE	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	-	/	36.0
			NE	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00251	740.0	31-90251	/	
			NE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90066	/	
			NE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90067	/	
			NE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90068	/	
			NE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90069	/	
12N	38E	13	N4	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	-	/	27.0
			N4	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00251	740.0	31-90251	/	
			N4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90066	/	
			N4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90067	/	
			N4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90068	/	
			N4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90069	/	
12N	38E	13	S4	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	-	/	37.0
			S4	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00251	740.0	31-90251	/	
			S4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90066	/	
			S4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90067	/	
			S4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90068	/	
			S4	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90069	/	
12N	38E	13	SE	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00156	740.0	-	/	39.0
			SE	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-00251	740.0	31-90251	/	
			SE	SE		HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	A31-10176	/	-	/	
			SE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90066	/	
			SE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90067	/	
			SE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90068	/	
			SE	SE		HARMON RESERVOIR CO. LTD.			31-90069	/	
TOTAL ACRES							A31-00156	320.00			233.00

DECEASED POU

No DECEASED
RIGHT TO THIS
SEE SEC. 13
ENLARGEMENT
CLAIM 1168090.
WHEN

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N()
IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER

POU COUNTY: CLARK

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

NOTES:

*Remark on claim reads "Right is for NE Sec. 13. Drainage & Excess Water
Applies to Beneficial Use When Grab Cr Not in Use."*

*Since when?
Enlarg ... claim*

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: _____

REVIEWED BY: _____

DATE: _____

*Claimant must file enlargement claim for 600 acres
in the SE of Sec 13. Decree only mentions
Irrigated acres in NE of Sec 13*

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
A31-00156 IRRIGATION	FROM 04-31 TO 11-01	.400 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		.400 CFS

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
31-00156 IRRIGATION	FROM 05-31 TO 09-01	.400 CFS
31-00156 STOCKWATER	FROM TO	.400 CFS
31-00156 DOMESTIC	FROM TO	.400 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		.400 CFS

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	---
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	---
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	---

Stockwater is defined by decree.

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

Y() N()

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

Y() N() EXPLAIN IN NOTES, BELOW:

NOTES:

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

Y(☒) N(☐)

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.400 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 0.400 CFS

*** IF NO OR IF STATUTORY CLAIM OR BENEFICIAL USE THEN FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED. ***

** IF AN AMOUNT SMALLER THAN THE ORIGINAL DIVERSION RATE IS **

** CLAIMED AND AN EXAM IS NOT REQUIRED, EXPLAIN IN NOTES. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

FIELD

DATABASE EXAMINER

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) Y

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD _____, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y(Y) N(☐) IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: 2.8 CFS CROOKED CREEK, 05/01/1902 PRIORITY

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POB AND IRRIGATION USAGES:

CLAIM NO.	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A31-00156	CROOKED CREEK	HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	0.400	0.001		
A31-00251	CRAB CREEK	HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	3.200	0.020		

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POB BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A31-00155	STOCKWATER	HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.050 CFS	
A31-10176	STOCKWATER	HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	
A31-10176	DOMESTIC	HUNTER, ENID BENNETT	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	

D.

RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1A. IRRIGATION RATE

1B. CALCULATIONS

ACRES _____ X 0.02 CFS/ACRE = _____ CFS
(TAKEN FROM P/U REVIEW)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y() N()

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 260.0 AF/A

ACRES _____ X CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE _____ AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = _____ AF/A

ACRES _____ X DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME _____ AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()
P/D E1/2 SW, S12, T12N, R38E.

NOTES: (INCLUDE LIMITATIONS ON CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR COMBINED RIGHTS.)

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
		DATABASE EXAMINER	DATABASE EXAMINER	DATABASE EXAMINER
<u>Pre 16.</u>				
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT				

REVIEWED BY: _____ DATE: _____

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

	ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED	NEEDS REVIEW
OWNERSHIP _____	()	()
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____	()	()
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____	()	()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 31-00156

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS	DATABASE	EXAMINER	CFS
	0.400	_____	

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
-	A31-00156	0.400	-		31-00156	0.400
TOTAL CFS		0.400				0.400

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, IS THE WRITTEN REVIEW SHEET ATTACHED? (Y/N)

DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
Y	_____

NOTES:

DISCREPANCY / CLAIMED AS 0.40 CFS

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: _____	DATE: _____
NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: _____	TITLE: _____

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

22:45:51
05/13/95

PAGE: 12
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A31-00156

MR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE								
POINT OF DIV	Y							
SOURCE	Y							
PLACE OF USE	Y							
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y							
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-							
PURPOSES	Y							
PERIOD OF USE	Y							
BASIS OF CLAIM								
REMARKS	Y							

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
 RSCS MAP = 2
 WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
 NAAPP PHOTOS = 4
 FIELD EXAM = 5
 OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

01:37:07 :
03/16/93

CLAIM NUMBER: A31-20156

STAGE: ~~INTERNAL USE~~ *DISPOS*

MISC: WATER DISTRICT NUMBER: FIELD INSPECTION FLAG:
PRESUMPTION FLAG:

01/25/2001

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: March 9, 2001

Water right number: 31-156

ENID BENNETT HUNTER

3500 W 2000 N

REXBURG ID 83440

Telephone Number: (208)356-6488

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

Place of use and total acres
(see attached statements)

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

Place of use should include: T12N R38E S13 NESE 40.00 T12N R38E S13 NWSE 40.00
T12N R38E S13 SWSE 40.00 T12N R38E S13 SESE 40.00
320 ACRES TOTAL

Use of this right with Right No. 31-00155 and 31-251 is limited to the Irrigation of a combined total of 320 Acres in a single irrigation season.

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

(see attached statements)

☐ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 3-8-01 SIGNATURE: Enid Bennett Hunter

Please print your name: Enid Bennett Hunter

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, 2085257161

Statement of Bill Hunter
Son of Claimant
March 8, 2001

Water Right No.s 31-155
 31-156
 31-251

Historically, the full water rights were used on all 320 acres of claimant's property, as verified by the decree (identified on the claims), and as identified by the claims themselves, filed by claimant in the adjudication. There has always been a fine line between protecting the property from flooding during periods of extreme high water, and irrigation of the property for the raising of crops. When an oil surfaced highway was constructed from Dubois into the Kilgore area and beyond, it, being built up, affected the flow of water from Crooked Creek and Crab Creek, and others. There was an effort by the County Commissioners and others to force the water towards Camas Creek. Mr. Hagenbarth also did some major diversions across his land which also affected the flow of the waters of Crooked Creek and Crab Creek. Claimant and her family have never at any time ceased to use the waters of Crooked Creek and Crab Creek and have exerted every effort to preserve the two water rights. They have never intended to abandon or allow those rights to be forfeited. The old channels of Crooked Creek and Crab Creek aren't as they used to be. Water that used to flow down Crab Creek now has been diverted into Crooked Creek and so when claimant uses water from the Crooked Creek channel she is using Crooked Creek and Crab Creek water. It is also important to note that water still flows in segments of the old Crab Creek channel, particularly at high water times.

The present tenant who has farmed the claimant's farm for approximately 10 years insists that he has used the co-mingled water of the two creeks in irrigating, either by flooding, or ponding and subbing, and some sprinkling all of the entire 320 acres of the claimant's land, and says he has harvested hay off of the entire farm. He does not believe that any part of the three water rights have been abandoned or forfeited.

I welcome the fact that the claims to a total of 3.2 cfs for the NE ¼ of Section 13, T12N R38E (claims 31-00155 and 31-00156) have received a favorable recommendation.

However, I am disturbed that the claim to the water right from Crab Creek for the SE 1/4 of Section 13, T 12 N, R 38 E has been given a recommendation for denial and most disturbing to me is the implication that I in any way expressed an intention on our part of abandonment or forfeiture of that right. Any comments by me that are being attributed to such a concession I consider to be taken completely out of context and used in an adversarial manner against me. I was the one that prepared the claim for that right, to be filed in my parent's behalf, and I can assure anyone concerned that I did not do so with the belief that it was wasted effort.

Mr. Ruberry indicated in his email that one recourse that we had was to file Notice of Error to the recommendation for the Crab Creek water right (31-00251) and to provide dated documentation that there has been a change to the water right. With respect to such a notice of error I refer to the claim that we filed in 1988 at the commencement of the adjudication process and the statement on that claim regarding the description of existing diversion works.

Although we have not utilized the headgate at the head of the Hump Ditch ourselves on a continuing basis, any water that is diverted south in that ditch that has tail water winds up in our diversion ditch from Crooked Creek and is transferred to the north end of our property and the swales in our property transmit the water to the south half of the property which is the SE 1/4 of Section 13. We have considered this to be an exercise of our Crab Creek right. Furthermore, as Mr. Ruberry and I discussed, Crab Creek has not been a perennial source of water for several decades. Mr. Ruberry correctly noted in that conversation that Crab Creek was formed in large measure from diversions and intermingling of flows from the other creeks in the west canyon. The tail water from diversions to the Hump Ditch, which have traditionally been considered Crab Creek water, can enter Crooked Creek. Therefore, we considered our use of water diverted from Crooked Creek to also be exercising the Crab Creek right due to the conditions that prevented the use of water from Crab Creek. These facts were all discussed with Mr. Ruberry in the July 14 conversation referenced by him.

We have not pursued the diversion of water from the lower end of the Crab Creek drainage, where our original diversion works were located, because of excessive flood damage that have been the result of spring runoff being diverted from the Crab Creek drainage by the highway onto the east side of our property. As I stated to Mr. Ruberry, we have been actively involved over the past twenty years in preventing water from the lower reaches of the Crab Creek drainage from entering our property due the excessive flood damage that has occurred. It is very disconcerting to me that Mr. Ruberry would utilize these candid statements to deny our claim to the Crab Creek water right and totally disregard the references noted above to our ongoing interests in and efforts to keep the Crab Creek claim active in the best manner available to us at the time.

If there problems with the manner in which we have filed our claims and other legal instruments that needed to be filed to effect transfer of rights and points of diversion it would have been most helpful to have been notified of this at an earlier date.

I came from Washington state in the latter part June in 1982 to help with the harvesting of the hay and arrived shortly after Dad had been in an automobile accident. Dad received severe injuries to his leg in the spring of 1982 and he was on crutches the bulk of the irrigating season that year. These injuries continued to be a hinderment to his mobility and to the full use of his leg for the remainder of his life. In 1983 I completed an investigation and report of flood damage along the entire east side of the Idmon property resulting from the runoff from snowmelt from the Crab Creek drainage area. Extensive damage occurred during the runoff in the spring of 1983 as it had done numerous times subsequent to the construction of the highway. That year our entire efforts were concentrated on working with the Clark County commissioners and public works crews to construct diking along the east side of the property to help prevent further flood damage. The water table in the south end of the property was exceptionally high during that year and the following 2-3 years. I recall occasions during that time frame when the machinery became stuck in the ponds on the south end of the place because of the sub in the ponds in July and August. The crop growth and production was very good that year and the subsequent 2-3 years due to above average ground water during those growing seasons. In 1986 Dad was diagnosed with cancer in the early fall and Dad died the following summer.

For several decades we have not pursued the diversion of water from the original diversion works located at the lower end of the Crab Creek drainage because of excessive flood damage that has been the result of spring runoff being diverted from the Crab Creek drainage by the highway onto the east side of our property. As I stated to Mr. Ruberry, we have been actively involved over the past twenty years in preventing water from the lower reaches of the Crab Creek drainage from entering our property due the excessive flood damage that has occurred. It is very disconcerting to me that Mr. Ruberry would utilize these candid statements to deny our claim to the Crab Creek

water right and totally disregard the references in our conversation, which I have noted below, which demonstrated our ongoing interests in and efforts to keep the Crab Creek claim active in the best manner available to us at the time.

The current point of diversion that we listed on the claim for the Crab Creek water right that we filed in 1988 is the Hump Ditch headgate located in NW 1/4, NW 1/4, Section 36, T13N, R38E. Although we have not utilized the Hump Ditch regularly ourselves, any tail water from the diversions from that headgate winds up either in Crooked Creek and contributes to our diversion from Crooked Creek or flows directly to the north end of our property. The swales traversing the property transmit the water to the south half of the property which is the SE 1/4 of Section 13. We have considered this to be an exercise of our Crab Creek right. Furthermore, as Mr. Ruberry and I discussed, Crab Creek has not been a perennial source of water for several decades. -The efforts by the IDWR to consolidate the creeks in Camas Meadows to increase flows to the major creeks and to Mud Lake water users have left creeks like Crab Creek without water.- Mr. Ruberry correctly noted in that conversation that Crab Creek was formed in large measure from diversions and intermingling of flows from the other creeks in the west canyon. Therefore, we considered our use of water diverted from Crooked Creek to also be exercising the Crab Creek right due to the conditions that prevented our use of water ^{from} Crab Creek. These facts were all discussed with Mr. Ruberry in the July 14 conversation referenced by him. If there problems with the manner in which we have filed our claims and additional legal instruments that needed to be filed to effect transfer of rights and points of diversion it would have been most helpful to have been notified of the specifics prior to the recommendation of disallowance of the water right.

From 1988 our efforts have been concentrated in reestablishing the diversion works from Crooked Creek. -Original points of diversion listed in the prior decree for the Crooked Creek water rights were the SE 1/4 of Section 12 (a headgate in Hagenbarth's field) and the NW 1/4 of Section 13 (the pond adjacent to the NW corner of our property).- The initial efforts were to reconstruct the headgate and diversion ditch from the pond since it could be most readily accomplished. The check at the outlet of the pond also needed to be reinforced and raised to accomplish this diversion. This was accomplished and water was diverted to the NE corner of the property and allowed to flow through the property in the swales in the 1988 - 1992 time frame which were dry years and growth and production of the hay crop was average to below average (1988 was a drought year in which the production was particularly low). Irrigation during this time frame was supplemented by pumping directly from the creek at the point where it enters the property. In 1989 I constructed a new headgate in Hagenbarth's field. (The original headgate had been bypassed by a diversion of Crooked Creek constructed by Hagenbarth's in their field. The diversion of the creek by Hagenbarth's was constructed to divert water to one of their pastures that had a fence line at the foot of the hill adjacent to the creek at that location.)

I then began working with the adjoining property owners to reestablish the original diversion ditch for the Crooked Creek water right. The segment of the ditch running through Hagenbarth's

field had been breached by channels that they had dug across the ditch in several locations. This required checks to be constructed across these channels. The segment of the ditch immediately north of our property had been plowed under by a previous owner the year he sold the property and the ditch needed to be constructed again. The new owner wanted assurance of the viability of the diversion system before the ditch was reconstructed through his property. (The diversion ditch for the Crooked Creek right traverses the adjoining parcels somewhat parallel to the contours which makes the ditch very flat and quite hard to maintain in working order. The new owner was quite skeptical about whether water would actually run in the ditch if it were reconstructed.) He and I agreed that if I could get the water across Hagenbarth's field to his fence line then he would agree to my reestablishment of the ditch through his property. This was accomplished by diverting water to his fence line from the new headgate in Hagenbarth's field. The complete reconstruction of this ditch required heavy equipment which we did not have and so the reconstruction of the ditch was delayed for sometime.

In the summer of 1996 the individual renting our property provided me with the use a large backhoe which I used to completely reconstruct the original diversion works from Crooked Creek. Another headgate was constructed along with a weir and the checks in Hagenbarth's pasture were reinforced. The ditch was extended through the property directly north of our property and a tail water ditch was dug through our property back to the portion of Crooked Creek that enters our property at the northwest corner.

It is our position that we have made every reasonable effort to keep the water rights for both the NE 1/4 and the SE 1/4 active and in force. The arability and productivity of not only our property but of all of the land on the lower west end of the valley is dependent on having adequate water. There are no major creeks in the west side of the valley. It has only been through coordinated efforts by all of the property owners on that end of the valley that we have been able to reestablish and maintain a perennial flow in Crooked Creek for the past several years. There had been a number of prior years when even Crooked Creek would dry up quite early in the summer. We have some of the oldest and best rights on the lower west end of the valley and if they are nullified, then there is nothing to stop that side of the valley from being dried up by further efforts, as referred to above, to concentrate flow in the major creeks.

At the same time, due to the fact that this is a mountain valley, there is a constant battle with the forces of nature, often exacerbated by the actions of men, to keep the land from being decimated by the spring runoff and flooding. Our diversion systems have been very difficult to maintain in working order because of damage in spring runoff. Trying to maintain a proper balance between directing water towards your property and directing it away is difficult and I don't believe that anyone can truly appreciate the difficulty involved with farming in Camas Meadows unless they have experienced it first hand.



Not he who has much is rich, but he who gives much.
— Erich Fromm

Monthly Focus:
Abundance—Live with the
attitude that there is an
abundance of resources
and opportunity.

14

Friday
July 2000

Daily Record of Events

196th Day 170 Left Week 28

In Office

Prattville, AL

845 Bill Hunter, Called to inquire about
his Bill Hunter Rte in Kingsport. Discuss
at length, the Crook Creek and Crook
Rte. How the many changes in
the area and the Rte. and how
that relates to Crook's use.
Will do GPS on Farm to mail @
SSSI Learning Center of
LA Vegas NV 89113
Ph: (702) 257-6886 PR NOTE
Will @ OUTSTANDING, COM.

1040 Called Glen - Re: Map to X Drive Prob. Find
Laptop and not. Arvon source. Church
is bringing now has too much work.

1050 Called LESPAND Re: INSTRUCTIONS FOR
TRUCK.

1255 Met Sidney called to discuss the
Dubois meeting.

130 Called McH. called to discuss
the Dubois meeting.
Van is coming for the Rte.

W. Winegar
31-00157B

CREEK

11 12

14 13

T12N R38E

Ed Bennett Hunter
31-00155, 31-00156,
31-00251

CROOKED

T12N

Delos Stucki
31-00174A

CREEK

SE

14. 13

23 24

IDMON
CORNER

7/21/2k
ABR

Miller & Viele, a
corporation, suc-
cessor in interest of
Lee Charles Miller

(continued)

1909 - June 1

31 154
1.0 c.f.s.

Source: Crooked Creek, a tribu-
tary of Camas Creek,

Point of Diversion: Near the North-
east corner of the Southwest Quar-
ter of Section 23, Township 12
North, Range 38 East, B.M.

For use on the following described
land, to-wit: The East Half of
the Southwest Quarter of Section
23, Township 12 North, Range 38
East, B.M.

1902 - May 1

31 155
2.8 c.f.s.

Source: Crooked Creek, a tribu-
tary of Camas Creek.

1909 - May 1

31 156
0.4 c.f.s.

Point of Diversion: In the East
Half of the Southwest Quarter of
Section 12, Township 12 North,
Range 38 East, B.M.

P00 - E²SW Sec. 12

T. 12N R. 38E

P00 N⁴ Sec. 13

For use on the following described
land, to-wit: The Northeast Quar-
ter of Section 13, Township 12
North, Range 38 East, B.M.

1901 - May 1

31 157
3.2 c.f.s.

Source: Grab Creek, a tributary
of Camas Creek.

Points of Diversion: (1) Near the
North and South center section line
in the South Half of Section 1,
Township 12 North, Range 38 East,
B.M. (2) In the Southeast Quarter
of Section 12 in said township and
range.

For use on the following described
land, to-wit: The East Half of the
East Half of Section 12, Township
12 North, Range 38 East, B.M.