

Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 300E

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone No.: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone No.: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____ Date of Field Exam: _____

Discussion of right origin and split history. Questions and problems.

CD's FROM: MW Wilson to E. Munny, et al 1996
Munny et al to J. Wraithman, 2004

Original Decree by Roxburg vs Teton, 1910, AS: #200 TO
V. HOGSTEN, 160", HONSHORCK CR, 6/1/1900 -
R.G. MICKLE WM vs A. GILBERT, 04/17/1933 - REDEFINES THIS
RIGHT w/ SPLITS OF 22-299 AND 22-29B.

W/C DATA BASE:

22-300, 3.20 cfs, 6/01/1900, HONSHORCK CR

SRBA CLAIMS/SPLITS:

22-300 A, SPLIT TO E AND F (THERE IS NO F IN SRBA DATA BASE)

22-300 B, 0.160 cfs, Munny, et al → WRAITHMAN

22-300 C, 1.600 cfs, J. McKouan

1.244

22-300 D, 1.344 cfs, HONSHORCK AGEN

22-300 E, 0.136 cfs, R. KNIGHT (part of A and 11765)

3.24 cfs - OVER SPLIT BY 0.04 cfs

THIS ABOUT SPLITS WERE (ARE) DONE INCORRECTLY - THERE IS NO
PART 'F'. EQ IS > THAN THE DECREE Q OF 3.20 cfs BY 0.04 cfs (2")
WHERE DID IT GO? RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SPLIT PORTIONS WILL
HOPEFULLY CORRECT THE ERROR.

SEE 22-298F FOR FIELD EXAM NOTES

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____