

Ruberry, Allen

From: Music, Vicky
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2002 11:10 AM
To: Ruberry, Allen
Subject: RE: B29 decree???

Al - We have this decree in the state office and information about it appears in the B 29 decree table that I sent you. It is decree 29-AX-1. Cooper e al v Harkins.et al. There were 11 plaintiffs and 1 defendant. W.W. Cooper has priority dates ranging from 1889 to 1893 per the decree so it looks like the 1889 date listed in the deed is correct. Do you want me to forward this decree, which also has a land list and fish creek irrigation sheet attached? Copies are not good so would send by statehouse mail. Let me know.

Vicky L. Music
Office of the Attorney General
Paralegal - IDWR
(208) 327-7928

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail may contain confidential and privileged information exempt from disclosure under applicable law. This message is intended only for the use of the individual or individuals identified above. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message. Please delete the message from your system and do not review, disclose, copy, or distribute any information contained or attached to the message. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ruberry, Allen
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2002 9:44 AM
To: Music, Vicky
Subject: B29 decree???

Goooooooooooooood Morning,

I'm FAXING you a copy of a deed from B29 that cites a 1902 decree that we don't have.

The situation is this; I have a 243 claim for springs with a 1921 Priority Date. They are not in the 1932 UG&E decree. However, there is a water right from Fish Creek for the POU which is the same as the POD for the springs.... which indicates the area being adjudicated for the 1932 decree. The deed references a 1902 decree between Cooper v Harkness. Also references an old License #7589 (don't know about this one, yet).

Will you kindly research this to determine which way to turn or Priority to recommend???

thx..... al

*Waiting on info
from Vickie*