

11/18/2002

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-13030 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-13030 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ACTING THROUGH USDI BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT IDAHO STATE OFFICE 1387 S VINNELL WY BOISE, ID 83709-1657 (208)373-4000

Priority Date: 06/28/1934
Basis: Beneficial Use
Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
SPRING	SINKS

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
STOCKWATER	01/01 to 12/31	0.020 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.040 CFS	2.20 AF

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

SPRING	NE1/4NE1/4SW1/4	Sec. 15, Twp 07S, Rge 38E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		

Place of Use

STOCKWATER


Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
07S	38E	15																	
											X								
											L	O							

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
2. D01 * 4/17/1926 CLAIMED UNDER FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHT.*
THIS WATER RIGHT IS ALSO CLAIMED BASED ON FEDERAL LAW WITH AN APRIL 17, 1926, DATE OF PRIORITY PURSUANT TO AN EXECUTIVE ORDER SIGNED THE SAME DATE AND KNOWN AS PUBLIC WATER RESERVE 107.
3. N11 THE QUANTITY OF WATER DECREED FOR THIS WATER RIGHT IS NOT A DETERMINATION OF HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL USE.
4. N13 THE QUANTITY OF WATER UNDER THIS RIGHT SHALL NOT EXCEED 13,000 GALLONS PER DAY.

Remarks:

A2913030



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-13030 V1

1. Explanatory BLM RECORD ID = 2903780339
2. Quantity USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 29-7827 IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 0.04 CFS.

Comments:Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: F
 Owner Name Connector:
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Summary			11/15/2002	jcrabtre	Active
Contacts	11/18/2002 11:48:25 AM	rwarner			Active
Priority Date	11/18/2002 11:49:50 AM	rwarner			Active
POD	11/18/2002 11:50:06 AM	rwarner			Active
Source	11/18/2002 11:50:58 AM	rwarner			Active
POU	11/18/2002 11:51:41 AM	rwarner			Active
Use	11/18/2002 11:52:04 AM	rwarner			Active
Season	11/18/2002 11:52:36 AM	rwarner			Active
Quantity	11/18/2002 11:54:50 AM	rwarner			Active
Summary			11/18/2002	rwarner	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
----------------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-13030 V1

Summary	11/15/2002 11:25:51 AM	jcrabtre	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (Yes) 3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed? (Yes) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (No) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?
Contacts	11/18/2002 11:48:25 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: desktop review
Priority Date	11/18/2002 11:49:51 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (No) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (No) 7. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? (No) 9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? (Yes) 12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 12/13 - email between Don Shaff and Ernie Carlsen
POD	11/18/2002 11:50:07 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Source	11/18/2002 11:50:59 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of claim an Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the source? (Yes) 10. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the source? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-13030 V1

POU	11/18/2002 11:51:41 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2b. Is the POU required? (No) 3. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? (Yes) 13. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POU? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 13 - water license 29-7827
Use	11/18/2002 11:52:04 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use? (Yes) 11. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Water Use? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Season	11/18/2002 11:52:37 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administrativly determined? (No) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	11/18/2002 11:54:50 AM	rwarner	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? (No) 7. Is a flow measurement required? (Yes) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 10. Is a irrigation a purpose of use? (Yes) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-13030 V1

Summary

11/18/2002 12:01:45 PM

rwarner

(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree,
License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
(Yes) 3. Is the water being used for all of the
purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
(Yes) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition
required?
(Yes) 6. Would you like to view the
recommended right?
(Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right?
(No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to
attach or link to the file?
(Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification
date?