

MAY 31, 1991

MAURICE L ROBISON
690 NORTHGATE MILE
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

RE: NOTICE OF CLAIM A25-12306, EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY


Dear Maurice:

This letter will confirm that the time period for providing Evidence of Priority on Notice of Claim A25-12306 has been extended to July 9, 1991.

I understand during the interim you will be working with Greg Anderson to compile the documentation necessary for describing the development of irrigation on your ranch.

Naturally, should either you or Greg Anderson have questions, feel free to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,


Ernest Carlsen
Adjudication Supervisor

EC:jt

cc: Greg Anderson

FILE: GC

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1994

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1994

May 1, 1991

Maurice L. Robison
690 Northgate Mile
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

RE: **Evidence of Priority**

Dear Mr. Robison:

The Notice of Claim identified with the numbes shown at the end of this letter has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice indicates the water usage is based on "Beneficial Use" or a "Statutory Claim". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Notice. The date the water was first put to use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date.

For your reference I have provided a printout of your Notice and the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read all of the pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**".

It is our goal to recommend this historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other items of the Notice have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel. But, the Department will not recommend the Notice be decreed by the court if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1994

Maurice L. Robison

Page 2

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority date by **June 3, 1991**, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice number. If you have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please contact this office.

All Notices required to submit this evidence are currently being reviewed for recommendation to the court. Hence, the need for expediency on your part or your agency. every effort should be made to submit this information within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Ernest Carlsen
Water right Supervisor, Adjudication

EC:sc

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"
Proof Report

A25-12306
MAURICE L. ROBISON
690 NORTHGATE MILE

IDAHO FALLS

ID 83401

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1994

4/11/1989

SRBA CLAIMS RECEIVING CHECKLIST #2

CLAIMANT'S NAME ROBISON CLAIM ENT. NO. A25-12306

DATE RECEIVED	CK #	AMOUNT \$	YES	NO	Required Info?
1. Is the name and address complete with zip code?			<u>X</u>		*
2. Is a priority date entered?			<u>X</u>		*
Is the priority date acceptable in view of mandatory filing dates?			<u>X</u>		*
See Adjudication Memos #1 and #4 to determine if a Permit is required.			<u>X</u>		*
3. (a) Is a source entered?			<u>X</u>		*
X (b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required)		N/A			
4. Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient?			<u>X</u>		*
5. Is there a description of the diverting works?			<u>X</u>		
6. Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to domestic and stockwater uses with direct diversion. All claims that include storage or instream stockwater must use long form.			<u>X</u>		*
Is the period of use included?			<u>X</u>		
Is a quantity claimed for each use?			<u>X</u>		*
7. Is a total quantity claimed entered?			<u>X</u>		
X 8. If required is a consumptive use entered?		N/A			
X Is the consumptive use correct?		N/A			
9. Are the non-irrigation uses listed properly? If this claim is on the short form, and more than one domestic use is listed, single ownership must be stated. If not under single ownership, see Adjudication Memo # 4.		N/A	<u>X</u>		*
10. Is (are) the place(s) of use and purpose(s) indicated?			<u>X</u>		*
11. Is (are) the county(ies) entered?			<u>X</u>		
12. Is the ownership of p.u. checked?			<u>X</u>		
X 13. Are other water rights listed or "none" indicated?					
14. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.)		N/A	<u>X</u>		
15. Is the basis of the claim indicated?			<u>X</u>		
X 16. If the claimant requested a monthly docket sheet, is payment enclosed? (If yes, describe payment status in "Comments" below.)		N/A			
17. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate? Organizations must complete the organization block.			<u>X</u>		*
18. Has the claim been signed, sealed, and dated by a notary?			<u>X</u>		*
19. Was this claim filed on time, or was an extension granted?			<u>X</u>		*
20. Is the fee enclosed correct?		Exempt	<u>X</u>		*
X 21. If this claim is based on an existing water right, has the identification number been entered?		N/A			
22. Should this claim be data entered?			<u>X</u>		

Claim checked by: _____ Date: _____

Claim must be returned to claimant: _____ (Yes) _____ (No)

Claimant must be notified of modifications: _____ (Yes) _____ (No)

Receipt Prepared by: _____ Date: _____
Claim data entered by: _____ Date: _____
Sent to claimant by: _____ Date: _____
Checked by: _____ Date: _____Comments: (2) APPLY 6/30/1920 (4) P/D SHOULD PROBABLY BE AT DAM
(SEE #5). (6) FLOW RATE/VOLUME ? (8) CONSUMPTIVE USE HARRY 2 MAN
DIVERSION VOLUME.