

2002 OCT 25 AM 10:09

FILED
TWIN FALLS CO. IDAHO
JULY 26 1999

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

In Re SRBA	) Subcase: 57-10847
	)
Case No. 39576	) SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND
	) RECOMMENDATION
	)
	) FINDINGS OF FACT AND
	) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

A *Partial Decree* was entered for the above-captioned irrigation water right on December 29, 1997. The period of use element was decreed as "irrigation season." In *A&B Irrigation Dist. v. Idaho Conservation League*, 131 Idaho 411, 423, 958 P.2d 568, 580 (1998), the Idaho Supreme Court remanded with the directive to include specific dates for the period of use element. Following remand, on July 26, 1999, IDWR filed a *Supplemental Director's Report, Reporting Area 2, IDWR Basin 57, Regarding Revision of Period of Use (For Irrigation Water Uses) and Conjunctive Management General Provisions*, which included an irrigation period of use recommendation for this water right. The recommendation also contained the following "subordination language."

DIVERTING WATER BEFORE OR AFTER THE PERIOD OF USE UNDER
THIS RIGHT IS ALLOWED PROVIDED:

- A. THE WATERS SO DIVERTED ARE APPLIED TO A BENEFICIAL USE, AND
- B. THE EXISTING RIGHTS AND FUTURE APPROPRIATIONS ARE FIRST SATISFIED.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW


This "subordination language" was recommended by IDWR in an attempt to satisfy the issues raised by the Idaho Supreme Court in *State of Idaho v. Idaho*

Conservation League, 131 Idaho 329, 955 P.2d 1108 (1998), regarding “excess water.” However, because the use of “excess water” is no longer being recommended as a general provision¹, this Special Master finds that the subordination language is no longer necessary and should not be included in the amended partial decree issued for this water right. Furthermore, all objections related to the season of use on Reynolds Creek having been resolved, this Special Master finds that the specific dates for the season of use for this water rights should be decreed as recommended in the *Supplemental Director’s Report*.

III. RECOMMENDATION

Therefore, based on the file and record herein, IT IS RECOMMENDED that an amended partial decree be issued for this water right as set forth in the attached *Special Master’s Recommendation for Amended Partial Decree Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 54(b) for water right 57-10847*.

Dated October 25, 2002.



THOMAS CUSHMAN
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

¹ For a comprehensive discussion regarding the “excess water” general provision, see the *Special Master’s Report and Recommendation, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, For General Provisions in Basin 57 Designated as Basin-Wide Issue 5-57* (September 11, 2002).

AMENDED

7-583A
TAMM HILLS 00 10440
[Signature]

PAGE 1
Oct-23-2002

2002 OCT 25 AM 10:09

FILED
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)

Case No. 39576)
_____)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING


Water Right(s): 57-10847

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT, SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION FOR PARTIAL DECREE and NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION were mailed on October 25, 2002, with sufficient first-class postage prepaid to the following:

DIRECTOR OF IDWR
PO BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0098

BETTY B & HENRY BRANDAU
HC 79 BOX 66
MELBA, ID 83641
Phone: 208-495-2787



DEBBIE LASH
Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

PAGE 1
10/25/02

2002 OCT 25 AM 10:09

FILED
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
CLERK

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)
Case No. 39576)
_____)

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF
SPECIAL MASTER'S
RECOMMENDATION

Water Right(s): 57-10847

On October 25, 2002, Special Master THOMAS R CUSHMAN issued a SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION for the above subcase(s) pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1), Section 13a.

Pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1, Section 13a, any party to the adjudication including parties to the subcase, may file a Motion to Alter or Amend on or before the 28th day of the next month.

Failure of any party in the adjudication to pursue or participate in a Motion to Alter or Amend the SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION shall constitute a waiver of the right to challenge it before the Presiding Judge.

DATED October 25, 2002.


DEBBIE LASH
Deputy Clerk