

A25-00002/A25-00003/A25-13326

1) 3 photos Pou A25-13326 from culvert
@ wad. This yr water only lasted till
June 8. (Posey Creek) (unnamed)

2) 1 photo at Dam in Clark Creek -
GPS 707076 E 231463 N

Waypoint ~~A25-2-1~~ A252-1
(SESE 18)

1 of pou at same location, looking S
along ditch

3) Per Mott Mann some years plenty of H₂O.
Pou is flat enough to irrigate, maintained
ditches are evident across Pou. Not
much water this year.

Divide in Clark Creek
4) Lower Pou 707845 E 231920 N

Waypoint A252-2

1 photo of Pou, 1 photo looking down ditch (SW)
at Pou.

5) Upper Cut POD - 1 photo.

They cut the creek bank / take the whole creek, then when done open the creek / let it go down to other users.

GPS 708 227 E
232 356 N

Waypoint A252-3

6) Posey Co. POD - 1 photo of dam, 1 of creek

GPS 707362 E 231 052 N

Waypoint 13226

A25-13326

This POD is a dam across the whole creek.

These lands are flood ir. (wild flood) w/ deep soil. High early-spring diversion rates for wet-zone storage are reasonable.

No Mannings measurements taken. Ditch does not have channel control, creek is too hard to tell typical water level.

15 November 2003

General Comments

This right and A25-00003 are based on the same decree, which generally describes the current places of use and adds the comment "the plaintiff and the defendant herein shall divide the waters actually flowing in said creek equally, and shall have an equal right to the use of said waters and in equal amounts." A note pencilled on the copy says "Do we add to SRBA rec.?" This is probably a question for legal staff, but it looks to me that recommending equal quantities and equal priority accomplishes this precisely.

Ownership

See change of ownership in the file. This has already been processed.

POD

The POD for this right is called "the dam in Clark Creek" and is located about where marked on the layout. The GPS waypoint is A252-1, 707,076E 231,463N IDTM. I wrote on the field notes "SESE 18" but "NESE 18" appears to be more correct.

POU

The POU is flat enough to irrigated and maintained ditches are seen across the POU. The claimant's sketch is probably more accurate than the layout.

Overlap

The bottom of this POU actually overlaps the water from Posey Creek claimed under A25-13326. That claim needs to be amended to reflect actual use, the current claim doesn't overlap.

Conflict

None noted. This claimant and the claimant of A25-00003 both acknowledge equal shares and equal priority.

Priority

As claimed, per decree, 6/15/1885

Quantity


Wild flood irrigation justifies decreed Q of 4.00 cfs, just under 0.03 cfs/acre. If needed I propose a Hubble analysis be performed.

Water Use

Irrigation.

List of Photos

A25-00002_1.jpg	POU from SE edge on county road. Overlap with A25-13326. Looking NW.
A25-00002_2.jpg	POD (dam in Clark Creek)
A25-00002_3.jpg	Looking S along Clark Creek from dam. POU on either side.


Bryce A. Contor

15 Nov 2003
Date

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name _____ Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Phone _____

Current Owner Forrest Mann

Name connector: and _____, or X, and/or _____

Current Owner Matt Mann

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Deeded.

- amount appears high for 155 acres. At 0.2 cfs/acre
should be closer to 3.1 cfs.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: 25-2

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Decreed!

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____