

RECEIVED

MAY 06 2004



MCQUAID  
BEDFORD &  
VAN ZANDT LLP

Department of Water Resources  
221 Main Street, 10th Floor  
San Francisco CA 94105-1936  
TEL: 415/905-0200  
FAX: 415/905-0202

21347-001

May 3, 2004

*Via Facsimile*

Clerk of the Court  
Snake River Basin Adjudication  
253 Third Avenue North  
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

**Re: SRBA Case No. 39576 -Subcase Nos. 55-10288B, 55-10289B, 55-10290B, 55-10292B, 55-10293B, 55-10295, 55-10296, 55-10297B, 55-10298, 55-10299B, 55-10300, 55-10301B, 55-10303B, and 55-13451**

Dear Sir or Madam:

Attached is LU Ranching Company's Motion to Extend Time to File Notice of Challenge in the above-referenced case. Please file this document today with your Court. In addition, we are sending to you by overnight mail an original executed document and one copy to be conformed and returned to us.

Please call the undersigned at 530-792-1631 if you have any questions.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth P. Ewens

Enclosures

1 MICHAEL J. VAN ZANDT  
CRAIG A. PRIDGEN  
2 ELIZABETH P. EWENS  
McQUAID BEDFORD & VAN ZANDT LLP  
3 221 Main Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105  
4 Telephone: (415) 905-0200  
Facsimile: (415) 905-0202

5 ROGER D. LING  
6 BRIAN J. COFFEY  
LING & ROBINSON  
7 P.O. Box 396  
Rupert, ID 83350

8 Attorneys for LU Ranching  
9

10 **IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE**  
11 **STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

12 In Re SRBA

13 Case No. 39576  
14

Subcase Numbers 55-10288B, 55-10289B, 55-  
10290B, 55-10292B, 55-10293B, 55-10295,  
55-10296, 55-10297B, 55-10298, 55-10299B,  
55-10300, 55-10301B, 55-10303B, and 55-  
13451

15 **LU RANCHING COMPANY'S MOTION**  
16 **TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE NOTICE**  
17 **OF CHALLENGE**

18 COMES NOW LU Ranching Co. ("LU Ranching"), by and through its counsel of record,  
19 and hereby moves for an extension of time, to and including May 28, 2004, within which to file, if  
20 necessary, a Notice of Challenge of Special Master's Order on Motion to Alter or Amend and  
21 Amended Special Master's Report and Recommendation for Water Rights 55-10288B et. al. In  
22 support thereof, LU Ranching submits the following:

23 1. On April 15, 2004, the Special Master issued an Order on Motion to Alter or  
24 Amend and Amended Special Master's Report and Recommendation ("Order") in the above  
25 referenced subcases. With that Order, the Court issued a Notice of Issuance of Special Master's  
26 Recommendations ("Notice"). A copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

27 2. The Notice issued by the Court instructed the parties as follows: "Pursuant to  
28

1 SRBA Administrative Order 1, Section 13a, any party to the adjudication including parties to the  
2 subcase may file a Motion to Alter or Amend *on or before the 28<sup>th</sup> day of the next month.* See  
3 Notice (*emphasis added*). The 28<sup>th</sup> day of “the next month” following the Notice is May 28, 2004.

4 3. Pursuant to the Court’s Notice, counsel for LU Ranching calendared the May 28,  
5 2004 deadline to respond to the Court’s Order. Counsel is currently in the process of reviewing the  
6 Court’s Order in consultation with LU Ranching.

7 4. On April 29, 2004, the United States filed a Notice of Challenge to the Special  
8 Master’s Order with the SRBA District Court.

9 5. There is an apparent conflict between the Court’s Notice setting a May 28, 2004  
10 deadline for the parties to respond to the Order, and Administrative Order 1 regarding filing a  
11 Notice of Challenge. There is also an apparent conflict regarding whether the Special Master  
12 intended to retain jurisdiction over this matter as indicated in its instructions to the parties to file a  
13 subsequent motion to alter or amend, if necessary, by May 28, 2004.

14 6. Following the United States’ filing of a Notice of Challenge, counsel  
15 for LU Ranching consulted with counsel for the United States regarding the Court’s Notice setting  
16 the May 28, 2004 deadline as well as the procedural posture of this case. Counsel for the parties  
17 have stipulated and agreed that the best way to resolve the conflict is, first, to allow the United  
18 States’ Notice of Challenge to remain on file but to stay briefing on the United States’ Challenge,  
19 second, to permit LU Ranching to and including May 28, 2004 to file, if necessary, a Notice of  
20 Challenge, and, third, to set a briefing schedule after May 28, 2004.

21 7. In further support of the within Motion to Extend Time to File Notice of  
22 Challenge, counsel notes that Michael Van Zandt is currently in trial, and said trial is expected to  
23 last through May 21, 2004. Additionally, undersigned counsel will be out of town for the week  
24 beginning May 9, 2004.

25 ACCORDINGLY, LU Ranching respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order (1)  
26 clarifying its jurisdiction over this case at this stage in the proceedings, (2) issuing an order

27 ///

28 ///

1 ///

2 permitting LU Ranching to and including May 28, 2004 within which to file a Notice of Challenge,  
3 if necessary, and (3) setting a scheduling conference to address a briefing schedule after May 28,  
4 2004.

5 Dated this 5 day of May, 2004.

6 Respectfully submitted,

7 McQUAID, BEDFORD  
8 & VAN ZANDT, LLP

9 By: Elizabeth P. Ewens  
10 Elizabeth P. Ewens  
11 Attorneys for LU RANCHING COMPANY

12  
13 As local counsel, having reviewed the foregoing LU COMPANY'S MOTION TO EXTEND  
14 TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF CHALLENGE, I hereby execute the same this \_\_\_\_ day of May, 2004.

15 LING & ROBINSON

16  
17 Brian J. Coffey  
18 Attorneys for LU RANCHING COMPANY  
19 Idaho State Bar No. 6566

20  
21  
22  
23 C:\Documents and Settings\EE\Local Settings\Temp\Temporary Internet Files\Content.IE5\G1QVGDM7\Motion to Extend  
24 Time[1].wpd


1 permitting LU Ranching to and including May 28, 2004 within which to file a Notice of Challenge,  
2 if necessary, and (3) setting a scheduling conference to address a briefing schedule after May 28,  
3 2004.

4 Dated this 3 day of May, 2004.

5 Respectfully submitted,


6 McQUAID, BEDFORD  
7 & VAN ZANDT, LLP

8 By:

9   
Elizabeth P. Ewens  
10 Attorneys for LU RANCHING COMPANY  
11

12 As local counsel, having reviewed the foregoing LU COMPANY'S MOTION TO EXTEND  
13 TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF CHALLENGE, I hereby execute the same this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2004.  
14

15 LING & ROBINSON

16   
17 Brian J. Coffey  
18 Attorneys for LU RANCHING COMPANY  
19 Idaho State Bar No. 6566  
20  
21  
22

23 C:\Documents and Settings\T\Local Settings\Temp\Temporary Internet Files\Content.IE5\KDUODMNM\Motion to Extend

24 Time[1].wpd  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I certify that on May 13, 2004, I served a true and correct copy of foregoing LU RANCHING COMPANY'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF CHALLENGE upon the following individuals by placing the document in the United States Mail, postage paid, and addressed as follows:

U.S. Department of Justice  
General Litigation Section  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
550 West Fort Street, MSC 033  
Boise, ID 83724

Larry Brown  
Attorney, U.S. Dept. of Justice  
General Litigation Section  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
550 West Fort Street, MSC 033  
Boise, Idaho 83724

Chief, Natural Resources Division  
Office of the Attorney General  
State of Idaho  
P.O. Box 44449  
Boise, ID 83720-0098

IDWR Document Depository  
P.O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0098



DISTRICT COURT-SRBA  
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO  
FILED                     

2004 APR 15 AM 11 48

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE  
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA )

Case No. 39576 )  
\_\_\_\_\_) )

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF  
SPECIAL MASTER'S  
RECOMMENDATION

Water Right(s): 55-10288B  
(SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A)

On April 15, 2004, Special Master THOMAS R CUSHMAN issued a SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION for the above subcase(s) pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1), Section 13a.

Pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1, Section 13a, any party to the adjudication including parties to the subcase, may file a Motion to Alter or Amend on or before the 28th day of the next month.

Failure of any party in the adjudication to pursue or participate in a Motion to Alter or Amend the SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION shall constitute a waiver of the right to challenge it before the Presiding Judge.

DATED April 15, 2004.


  
DEBBIE LASH  
Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT "A"

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE

PAGE 1  
04/15/04