

RECEIVED
MAY 11 2004
Department of Water Resources
DISTRICT COURT - SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED
2004 MAY 11 PM 2 31

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA) Subcase 85-15429 (formerly 82-12001)
Case No. 39576) (USDI / BLM)
)
) SPECIAL MASTER REPORT AND
) RECOMMENDATION

Findings of Fact

The Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources filed his *Director's Report for Domestic and Stockwater, Reporting Area 22 (IDWR Basin 82)* on March 19, 1999. The Director recommended claim 82-12001 to the United States of America, Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Idaho State Office, 1387 S. Vinnell Way, Boise, Idaho, 83709-1657, for .02 cfs from an unnamed stream in Idaho County for year-'round instream stockwater use with a priority date of January 1, 1878, based on beneficial use.

The State of Idaho filed an *Objection* on June 2, 1999, objecting to priority date and alleging the water right should not exist. The United States filed an *Objection* on September 17, 1999, objecting to the reporting basin.

On August 29, 2002, the United States and the private parties filed a *Stipulation and Joint Motion for Order Approving Stipulation* in multiple related subcases, including subcase 82-12001. As part of the *Stipulation*, the private parties agreed to dismiss their objections and responses and "for claims on lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management, the United States shall receive a water right with a priority date which is the later of a) the claimed priority date or b) June 28, 1934."

On September 5, 2002, the Special Master entered an *Order Granting Withdrawal of Objections and Responses* filed by the private parties. On April 21, 2003, the Special Master entered *Orders Dismissing State of Idaho Objections* based on a *Stipulation to Resolve Objections* filed by the United States and the State. Finally, on March 30, 2004, the United

States, with IDWR's concurrence, filed a *Standard Form 5* renumbering 82-12001 to 85-15429 and agreeing to a priority date of June 28, 1934.

Conclusions of Law

The United States is entitled to a partial decree adjudicating a water right as described in the *Amended Claim SF-5* and the attached *Special Master Recommendation for Partial Decree for Water Right 85-15429*.

Recommendation

Therefore, it is recommended that claim 82-12001 be disallowed, the claim having been re-numbered as 85-15429.

DATED May 11, 2004.



TERRENCE A. DOLAN

Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

DISTRICT COURT
TWIN FALLS CO., ID.
FILED _____

In Re SRBA)
Case No. 39576)
_____)

PARTIAL DECREE PURSUANT TO
I.R.C.P. 54(b) FOR
Water Right 85-15429

2004 MAY 11 PM 2 31

NAME AND ADDRESS: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
USDI BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
IDAHO STATE OFFICE
1387 S VINNELL WY
BOISE, ID 83709-1657

SOURCE: UNNAMED STREAM TRIBUTARY: SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.02 CFS

THE QUANTITY OF WATER UNDER THIS RIGHT SHALL NOT EXCEED 13,000
GALLONS PER DAY.

PRIORITY DATE: 06/28/1934

POINT OF DIVERSION: T30N R04E S07 SWNESE (Instream Beginning Point) Within Idaho County
SWNESE (Instream Ending Point)

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	Stockwater	01-01 TO 12-31	0.02 CFS

PLACE OF USE: Stockwater Within Idaho County
T30N R04E S07 NESE

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:


THE QUANTITY OF WATER DECREED FOR THIS WATER RIGHT IS NOT A
DETERMINATION OF HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL USE.

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS
NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT
ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY
DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE
ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. I.C. SECTION 42-1412(6).

RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE

With respect to the issues determined by the above judgment or order, it is hereby CERTIFIED, in accordance with Rule 54(b), I.R.C.P., that the court has determined that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of a final judgment and that the court has and does hereby direct that the above judgment or order shall be a final judgment upon which execution may issue and an appeal may be taken as provided by the Idaho Appellate Rules.

John M. Melanson
Presiding Judge of the
Snake River Basin Adjudication



DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED _____

2004 MAY 11 PM 2 31

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Case No. 39576)
_____)

Water Right(s): 82-12001
85-15429


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT, SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION FOR PARTIAL DECREE and NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION were mailed on May 11, 2004, with sufficient first-class postage prepaid to the following:

DIRECTOR OF IDWR
PO BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0098

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
USDI BLM

Represented by:
US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ENVIRONMENT & NATL' RESOURCES
550 WEST FORT STREET, MSC 033
BOISE, ID 83724



ROBBIN HALL
Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

PAGE 1
05/11/04

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED _____

2004 MAY 11 PM 2 31

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)
Case No. 39576)
_____)

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF
SPECIAL MASTER'S
RECOMMENDATION


Water Right(s): 82-12001
85-15429

On May 11, 2004, Special Master JOHN M MELANSON
issued a SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION for the above subcase(s)
pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1), Section 13a.

Pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1, Section 13a, any party
to the adjudication including parties to the subcase, may file a Motion
to Alter or Amend on or before the 28th day of the next month.

Failure of any party in the adjudication to pursue or participate
in a Motion to Alter or Amend the SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION
shall constitute a waiver of the right to challenge it before the
Presiding Judge.

DATED May 11, 2004.



ROBBIN HALL
Deputy Clerk